# **Deer Management Qualifications – Deer Stalking Certificate 2**

# INDIVIDUAL CULL RECORD

October 2024

This Individual Cull Record may only be completed by a DMQ Approved Witness whose name is included on the DMQ list of Approved Witnesses current on the date of the activity. When completed, either partially or in full, the Approved Witness must save it and forward a copy by email direct to the ePortfolio Assessor and <u>not</u> to the candidate. Witnesses should ask the candidate to provide the name their allocated ePortfolio Assessor and enter it in the box provided below. Approved Witnesses must read and follow the DMQ Candidate and Approved Witness ePortfolio Guidance available for download from the DMQ website www.dmg.org.uk

CANDIDATE NAME	CANDIDATE No
Tick box indicating     Chest (preference)     Neck     Head     Other (state without)	
Deer species culled (tick one)	
RED SIKA ROE FALLOW	MUNTJAC CWD
Sex of culled deer: Male Female Date Shot	
Time deer was shot - AM OR Time deer was sh	ot - PM
(Detail the name of estate, farm, woodland etc.) Location shot Count	у
Rifle calibre used Range (metres) Second	nd shot required YES NO
Follow up required YES NO Approximate distance run	n (metres)
·	ess Number
Portfolio Assessor (Name) (Obtain from Candidate)	

#### Element 1 - Stalk Deer

PERI	ORMANCE CR	ITERIA	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD
1.0 Candidate identity.	e to provide p	proof of	If a candidate is not already known to the witness, check the candidates photo identity e.g.: FAC, driving license, or passport.	Direct Observation
Candidate Ide	Candidate Identification Seen by Witness? Yes No Date, AW Name or No.			
PERI	ORMANCE CR	ITERIA	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD
1.1 Perform v health and sa			Safe working practices are demonstrated throughout ICR. Observe that suitable clothing is worn for conditions. Check that appropriate safety equipment carried.	Direct Observation
Candidate ach	ieves all elen	nents of PC 1.1	Yes No <b>Date</b> , AW Name or No.	
PERI	ORMANCE CR	ITERIA	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD
1.2 Determin	e the cull anir	nal required.	Candidate establishes, by own decision or by enquiry, which species, sex, age group of animals are in season and intended to be culled and which are priorities. AW to detail agreement below.	Discussion and Agreement
Species agreed for cull	Sex agreed for cull	Age Group agreed for cull	Any additional witness comments	Date, AW Name or No.
PERI	ORMANCE CR	ITERIA	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD
			Candidate has a deer legal rifle and	

1.3 Select firearm and ammunition to meet requirements of planned cull.	Candidate has a deer legal rifle and sufficient legal ammunition for shooting deer detailed in PC 1.2. Legal possession of a firearm, borrowed or owned. FAC conditions must allow for intended use.	Direct Observation

Candidate achieves all elements of PC 1.3	Yes No Date, AW Name or No.	
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD
1.4 Prepare tools, equipment and firearm into a safe and serviceable condition suitable for culling activities.	Candidate shows possession of equipment and tools necessary for stalking and assessment.	Direct Observation
Candidate achieves all elements of PC 1.4	Yes No Date, AW Name or No.	
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD
1.5 Locate and approach deer to a distance where a safe and effective shot can be taken, taking account of the natural features of the location.	Candidate locates previously unseen deer (with assistance if necessary). Candidate must lead stalk and approach deer to a reasonable distance where a safe and humane shot can be taken.	Direct Observation and Communication
Candidate achieves all elements of PC 1.5	Yes No <b>Date</b> , AW Name or No.	
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD
1.6 Select individual deer to meet cull requirements.	Candidate confirms that the animal selected by them for culling meets the agreed specification detailed under PC 1.2 prior to approaching the deer	Direct Observation and Communication

No

Date, AW Name or No.

#### Element 2 - Cull Deer

PERFORMANCE CRITERIA KEY FEATURES ASSESSMENT MET				
2.1 Handle firearm safely and efficiently according to Codes of Practice/Best Practice.	At all times throu demonstrates sa Witness remains the correct use of safe muzzle awa maintained.	Direct Observation		
Candidate achieves all elements of PC 2.1	Yes No	Date, AW Name or No.		
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	l	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD	
2.2 Shoot deer safely, humanely and minimising carcass contamination, according to location and legal requirements	ensures safe bac shot placement e approaching sho	tion performed. Candidate ckstop and states intended either before shooting or ot deer. After shot, rifle is iately and safety applied.	Direct Observation and Communication	
Candidate achieves all elements of PC 2.2	Yes No	Date, AW Name or No.		
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA		KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD	
2.3 Observe reaction of deer to the shot to determine its condition.	specifically, is th 3. Missed. Cand plan according to Position of strike deer identified. C time period before action is required	Candidate is asked to evaluate the situation, specifically, is the deer: 1. Dead 2. Wounded 3. Missed. Candidate decides on follow up plan according to reaction of shot deer. Position of strike and last known position of deer identified. Candidate allows a suitable time period before following up. If immediate action is required to deal with a wounded animal this element can be completed at the		
Candidate achieves all elements of PC 2.3	Yes No	Date, AW Name or No.		
Candidate achieves all elements of PC 2.3 PERFORMANCE CRITERIA		Date, AW Name or No.	ASSESSMENT METHOD	
	Candidate locate appropriate meth decisions as to h	KEY FEATURES es shot deer using nods. Candidate makes all now to conduct the follow up, es to plan and if a dog is to	ASSESSMENT METHOD Direct Observation	
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA  2.4 Locate and confirm condition of shot	Candidate locate appropriate meth decisions as to h including change be brought in to	KEY FEATURES es shot deer using nods. Candidate makes all now to conduct the follow up, es to plan and if a dog is to		
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA 2.4 Locate and confirm condition of shot deer.	Candidate locate appropriate meth decisions as to h including change be brought in to	KEY FEATURES es shot deer using nods. Candidate makes all now to conduct the follow up, es to plan and if a dog is to help.		
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA         2.4 Locate and confirm condition of shot deer.         Candidate achieves all elements of PC 2.4	Candidate locate appropriate meth decisions as to h including change be brought in to Yes No Candidate appro appropriate caut checks for eye b method. If consist takes appropriat	KEY FEATURES es shot deer using nods. Candidate makes all now to conduct the follow up, es to plan and if a dog is to help. Date, AW Name or No. KEY FEATURES baches shot deer with ion and if considered dead, link reaction or other dered wounded Candidate e action. Candidate shows f the dangers from hooves	Direct Observation	
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA         2.4 Locate and confirm condition of shot deer.         Candidate achieves all elements of PC 2.4         PERFORMANCE CRITERIA         2.5 Approach shot deer safely according	Candidate locate appropriate meth decisions as to h including change be brought in to Yes No Candidate appro appropriate caut checks for eye b method. If consid takes appropriat an awareness of and/or antlers wh	KEY FEATURES es shot deer using nods. Candidate makes all now to conduct the follow up, es to plan and if a dog is to help. Date, AW Name or No. KEY FEATURES baches shot deer with ion and if considered dead, link reaction or other dered wounded Candidate e action. Candidate shows f the dangers from hooves	Direct Observation         ASSESSMENT METHOD	
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA         2.4 Locate and confirm condition of shot deer.         Candidate achieves all elements of PC 2.4         PERFORMANCE CRITERIA         2.5 Approach shot deer safely according to its presumed condition.	Candidate locate appropriate meth decisions as to h including change be brought in to Yes No Candidate appro- appropriate caut checks for eye b method. If conside takes appropriate an awareness of and/or antlers whether Yes No	KEY FEATURES es shot deer using hods. Candidate makes all how to conduct the follow up, es to plan and if a dog is to help. Date, AW Name or No. KEY FEATURES paches shot deer with ion and if considered dead, link reaction or other dered wounded Candidate e action. Candidate shows if the dangers from hooves hen handling.	Direct Observation         ASSESSMENT METHOD	
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA         2.4 Locate and confirm condition of shot deer.         Candidate achieves all elements of PC 2.4         PERFORMANCE CRITERIA         2.5 Approach shot deer safely according to its presumed condition.         Candidate achieves all elements of PC 2.5	Candidate locate appropriate meth decisions as to h including change be brought in to Yes No Candidate appro appropriate caut checks for eye b method. If conside takes appropriat an awareness of and/or antlers whether Yes No Candidate observation	KEY FEATURES         es shot deer using         nods. Candidate makes all         now to conduct the follow up,         es to plan and if a dog is to         help.         Date, AW Name or No.         KEY FEATURES         baches shot deer with         ion and if considered dead,         link reaction or other         dered wounded Candidate         e action. Candidate shows         if the dangers from hooves         nen handling.         Date, AW Name or No.         KEY FEATURES         ved taking appropriate         e dispatch of wounded deer.         unded, the witness should	Direct Observation         ASSESSMENT METHOD         Direct Observation	

	PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	ASSESSMENT METHOD	
2.7 Confirm status of deer against cull requirements. Candidate checks shot deer meets cull requirements detailed under PC 1.2. Candidate demonstrates an awareness of the possibility of dependent young.			Direct Observation
	Candidate achieves all elements of PC 2.7		
	PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD
	2.8 Bleed and gralloch carcass hygienically and efficiently.	Direct Observation	
Candidate achieves all elements of PC 2.8 Yes No Date, AW Name or No.			

## Element 3 – Prepare and Inspect Dead Deer

safety requirements. Ensure tools and lifting safety. Clean personal safety	PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD
(Field or Larder). Carcasses lifted and handled safely and correctly.	with food safety regulations & health and	Lifting and hanging equipment serviceable and conforms to legal requirements. Candidate does not compromise personal lifting safety. Clean personal safety equipment serviceable and available for use (Field or Larder). Carcasses lifted and	Direct Observation

Candidate achieves all elements of PC 3.1 Yes	No	Date, AW Name or No.

PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD
3.2 Dress deer carcass ready to enter the food chain according to legal requirements.	Candidate observed inspecting and removing the head, hooves, and any remaining internal organs avoiding unnecessary contamination or damage to carcass. Candidate observed removing any unavoidable minor contamination.	Direct Observation
Candidate achieves all elements of PC 3.2		

Candidate achieves all elements of PC 3.2	Yes No Date, AW Name or No.	
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD
3.3 Inspect carcass, organs and lymph sites for normality according to legal requirements.	Without prompting or guidance, candidate demonstrates locating and inspecting the mesenteric, sub-maxillary and retropharyngeal lymph nodes. If lymph nodes in head are not available for inspection, candidate must inspect any 2 of the following: portal, gastric, bronchial or mediastinal. Candidate observed carrying out internal inspection of the empty carcass.	Direct Observation

No

Candidate achieves all elements of PC 3.3 Yes

Date, AW Name or No.

PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD
3.4 Take appropriate action when carcass abnormality is identified, according to legal requirements. Candidate and action taken by them. If no abnormalities are found by candidate, the witness should tick the No box below.		Direct Observation or Assessor Questions
Candidate achieves all elements of PC 3.4		
Give details below of any abnormality found and activ	Witness Name or Number	

PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD
3.5 Dispose of waste from deer preparation in a safe manner according to legal requirements.	Candidate observed appropriately disposing of waste in field, or from larder. Candidate identifies unfit carcass or offal and isolates. Witness records any found.	Direct Observation
Candidate achieves all elements of PC 3.5		
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD
3.6 Identify and record status of deer carcass.	If not a Trained Hunter tick the NO box for Assessor to cover. Candidate only completes hunter declaration and tags carcass if Trained Hunter status is held	Direct Observation or Assessor Questions
Candidate achieves all elements of PC 3.6		
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD
3.7 Clean and store tools and equipment after use. Candidate cleans tools and equipment used in above processes and leaves them prepared for next use.		Direct Observation
Candidate achieves all elements of PC 3.7		

## Element 4 – Transport and Store Dead Deer

#### If the PC has not been observed, Tick the No box! For the Assessor to cover.

PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD
4.1 Carry out work hygienically and safely in line with food safety and with health and safety requirements	Candidate ensures knives and other equipment are suitable prior to use and kept serviceable and clean. Candidate works safely	Direct Observation or Assessor Questions
Candidate achieves all elements of PC 4.1 Yes No Date, AW Name or No.		
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD
4.2 Transport carcass in a hygienic manner to maintain its quality.	Candidate ensures carcass retrieval/transport is suitable, safe, legal and hygienic. Using suitable containers to minimize the risk of contamination or damage to the carcass en route.	Direct Observation or Assessor Questions
Candidate achieves all elements of PC 4.2 Yes No Date, AW Name or No.		

PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD
4.3 Establish storage areas in a hygienic condition suitable for receiving carcasses.	Candidate checks that all necessary equipment is available and safely stored. Area clean and ready to receive carcass. Checks that storage areas are suitable for purpose and lifting and hanging equipment is serviceable and conforms to legal requirements. If carcass is not stored tick NO box!	Direct Observation or Assessor Questions
Candidate achieves all elements of PC 4.3		
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD
4.4 Store deer according to legal requirements.	Candidate checks that temperature, ventilation, vermin and contamination factors are acceptable within best practice <b>If</b> carcass is not stored tick NO box!.	Direct Observation or Assessor Questions
Candidate achieves all elements of PC 4.4		
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD
4.5 Clean tools, equipment and facilities after use.	Candidate ensures that tools and equipment used in above processes are cleaned and prepared for next use. If a larder facilities are not used, tick the NO box!	Direct Observation or Assessor Questions
Candidate achieves all elements of PC 4.5 Yes No Date, AW Name or No.		
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	KEY FEATURES	ASSESSMENT METHOD
4.6 Maintain accurate cull and larder records according to legal requirements.	Candidate maintains accurate records of: date shot, species, sex, age, reproductive status, weight, abnormalities and disposal. If records are not completed, tick NO box!	Direct Observation or Assessors Questions
Candidate achieves all elements of PC 4.6		

## Witness Notes

Below and continued on the following page if required, is a section which must be completed by the Approved Witness for this ICR. It should briefly give details of the candidate's performance and should also be used to add comments considered relevant or to give an explanation of any circumstance which will help to clarify either an occurrence or evidence to the portfolio Assessor. For example, if a deer was shot by the candidate from a high seat, this section should be used to explain how PC 1.5 was otherwise achieved.

Approved Witness for this ICR			
Name	DMQ Approved Witness Number		
Main contact number	Secondary contact number		
Date this form was completed			

This ICR should now be saved in an electronic format and sent directly by email to the Assessor named on the front page.

This document <u>must not</u> be viewed by or sent to the candidate and a copy should be retained by the Approved Witness for future reference.